

Message

From: Kaur, Supinderjit [Kaur.Supinderjit@epa.gov]
Sent: 4/20/2018 4:45:11 PM
To: Vaughn, Stephanie [Vaughn.Stephanie@epa.gov]; Donovan, Betsy [Donovan.Betsy@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: RK Question

I called Jill, but she didn't answer. I let her know briefly in a voicemail that the "3X" the ARS for hotspot remediation was a best professional judgement call when we were hatching out the remedial goals with the PRPs.

Just wanted to give you guys a heads up.

From: McKenzie, Jill [mailto:Jill.McKenzie@dep.nj.gov]
Sent: Thursday, April 19, 2018 5:13 PM
To: Kaur, Supinderjit <Kaur.Supinderjit@epa.gov>
Subject: RK Question

Hi Supinder. Can you fill me in on the decision to use a multiplier of "3" applied to an ARS as a way to determine hot spot remediation at the RK site? John P. of Geosyntec indicated that EPA had directed them to use a 3X the ARS multiplier for the purpose of defining Areas of Particular Concern (APCs). No one on my case team can recall discussing this, and, unfortunately, it was not caught on this end during the review of the Draft FS. Reference to this multiplier was first noted during our review of Geosyntec's 3/07/18 ARS email. I wanted to check with you about the decision train regarding this before we sent our final comments out. I'll be here a bit longer tonight and will be in the office all day tomorrow. Thanks in advance! - Jill (609) – 292-1993